

(Root) Mean Square Angles of Scattering

Formal mean square space (3D) angle is

$$\langle \theta^2 \rangle = \int_{\theta_{min}}^{\theta_{max}} \theta^2 P(\theta) d\Omega$$

Muons scatter from (screened) nucleus and the atomic electrons.

- θ_{min} defined by ‘size’ of atom $\sim 10^{-5}$ radians
- θ_{max} roughly defined by size of nucleus for μ - N scattering
- $\theta_{max} \sim 1$ (radian) for low Z , $p_\mu = 200$ MeV/ c
- θ_{max} determined by *kinematics* for $\mu - e$ scattering
- $\theta_{max}^e \sim 4$ milliradians for $\mu - e$ scattering
- For a given atomic species N and e scattering probabilities in ratio $Z^2:Z$
- *We don't accept muons up to (anything like) θ_{max} – only a few $\times 10$ mr at best*

Rossi et al. and the PDG expression

The scattering probability, $P(\theta)$, is directly proportional to the single scattering cross-section, $\sigma(\theta)$.

Rossi wanted a simple and convenient – but approximate – expression. He assumed:

- The Rutherford cross-section: $d\sigma \propto Z^2 \frac{d\Omega}{\theta^4}$
- A hard cutoff at θ_{min}
- Ignored a factor $(\frac{Z}{A})^{\frac{1}{6}}$ in a log term
- Noted that the result could be expressed in terms of radiation length, X_0
- Fudged the electron contribution (and ignored kinematic limit) by saying:
 - Increase the scattering probability by $Z^2 \rightarrow Z(Z + 1)$
 - Use (as is done) $Z(Z + 1)$ in place of Z^2 in X_0
- Obtained the original expression with a coefficient of 21 (15 for projected angle)

- Z/A increases from (1 in Hydrogen only) 2 to 2.5 across periodic table
- $Z(Z + 1)/Z$ decreases from 2 to very small across periodic table
- Rossi expression better at high Z
- Highland, following Rossi, observed that there is a Z dependence (but ignored electron kinematics) at low Z and suggested a better coefficient is 13.6 (in 2D) *for all Z*
- Obtained the log term in the current PDG expression
- PDG expression modified - but no explicit Z dependence.
- No Z dependence
- *Caveats*
 - The ‘small print’ says the PDG expression applies to 98% (check) of the distribution
 - The PDG expression does not ‘convolute’:
 - $\langle \theta^2(2t) \rangle \neq 2 \langle \theta^2(t) \rangle$

- (This is all in Tim Carlisle's thesis)
- Following Rossi, but more carefully, there is a strong Z dependence of $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$ at low Z
- (Analytic integral, not MC)
- For LH2 the scattering is only 70 - 80% of PDG prediction
- Even when
 - The correct electron scattering kinematic limit is included
 - Better ('Wentzel') cross-section than Rutherford is used
 - Cross-section used is \sim same cross-section as Molière
- ELMS confirms this for LH2
- Molière does not give an expression for $\langle \theta^2 \rangle$
- but gives a calculable width of the Gaussian part of the distribution

I am very surprised that we seem to be measuring widths for LiH that are greater than any models predict. In general MUSCATT found the low- Z distributions narrower than expected.